IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 21838 of 2002

Between:

1 T.D.Venkateswarlu S/o. T.R.Dattu
Premises No.3-4-34/5, Mahankali Street,
Secunderabad.
2 L.Vinay Kumar S/o. Late L.Sudharshan
Premises No.7-1-633, Market Steet,
Secunderabad.
3 M.P. Jayantha Swamy S/o. late M.P.Anantha Sena
Premises No.3-3-393, Mahankali Street,
Secunderabad.
4 M.P. Anatha S/o. late M.P.Srinivasulu
Premises No.3-3-392, Mahankali Street,
Secunderabad.
5 K.Ashok Kumar S/o. K.Laxminarayana
Premises No.3-3-560, Mahankali Street,
Secunderabad.
6 Ch.Santosh Kumar S/o. CH.Yadagiri
Premises No.3-3-385, Subash Road,
Secunderabad.
7 G.Madhav S/o. G.Sudharshan
Premises No.3-3-391/5, Mahankali Street,
Secunderabad.
8 T.P.Ravi Prakash S/o. T.Pentaiah
Premises No.Plot No.4, Laxminagar, Picket,
Secunderabad.

...PETITIONERS

AND

The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
North Zone, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring that the action of the respondent contained in the memo dated 29.10.2002 rejecting temporary cracker licenses to the petitioner, is arbitrary and illegal and direct the respondent to permit the petitioners to conduct a temporary cracker shops in their respective premises for which no objection was given in favour of the petitioners and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.V.VENKATARAMANA

Counsel for the Respondent : GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

-

The petitioners applied individually for temporary licenses to conduct retail business during Diwali 2002 for selling fire-crackers at different premises in Secunderabad, for which No Objection Certificate was also obtained. The respondent issued memo, dated 29.10.2002, rejecting the temporary cracker licenses of the petitioners, as the premises of their shops were commercial and residential. Assailing the same, the present writ petition is filed.

The grievance of the petitioners is in not permitting them to open the fire-crackers shops for Diwali 2002. Therefore, nothing survives in the writ petition.

The writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

23.06.2005

vs

To

1 The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
North Zone, Secunderabad.

2 2 CCs to the G.P for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.(O.U.T).

3 2 CD copies.